Safety Zone Ordinance Deliverables

1. Citywide and Ward-by-Ward information on the number of children injured by collisions during school hours

	Question	1. 2005-2010: Num	ber of Pedesti	ian Childı	ren Injure	ren Injured In Crashes During \	ren Injured In Crashes During Weekdays
		Number of Pedestrian Crashes During Wo		Fatal, Serious and Non Serious Injuries To Children			
Ward	Serious Injury / Fatality	Non Incapacitating Injury	Total	Between 07 AM and 04 PM*		Between 04 PM and 07 PM*	I 7PM to 7AM
1	4	30	34	24		8	8 2
2	8	49	57	37		15	
3	20	62	82	45	t	22	
4	8	36	44	28	t	10	
5	10	49	59	31	İ	16	
6	14	77	91	45	Ī	31	31 15
7	10	44	54	22	ļ	16	
8	15	62	77	37	1	24	
9	15	49	64	30	ļ	19	
10	20	41	61	28	1	26	
11	8	32	40	14	1	18	
12 13	15 15	45 36	51	16 23	+	28 21	
14	9	51	60	25	ł	22	
15	15	76	91	36	١	40	
16	21	63	84	31		35	
17	30	104	134	56		41	
18	10	57	67	27		25	
19	6	25	31	15		11	11 5
20	13	72	85	35		30	30 20
21	17	77	94	35		32	32 27
22	5	36	41	13		15	1 1
23	8	25	33	11		15	<u> </u>
24	23	95	118	38	1	53	
25	9	38	47	21	Ŧ	19	
26	9	29	38	16	Ŧ	15	
27 28	13 23	57 108	70 131	33 46	+	20 51	
28	12	48	60	17	t	25	
30	16	34	50	25	t	15	
31	13	52	65	32	t	19	
32	4	15	19	13	t	4	
33	6	40	46	21	j	17	
34	20	50	70	31	Ī	24	24 15
35	7	38	45	19	Į	15	
36	10	18	28	16	Ļ	8	
37	16	55	71	30	1	19	
38	10	22	32	16	1	10	
39	9	25	34	15	+	14	
40 41	6	23 8	29 9	17 2	ł	8 6	
41	1	22	23	11	t	4	
43	4	16	20	9	ł	5	
44	2	4	6	5		1	
45	4	20	24	9		4	
46	4	15	19	9		5	
47	11	20	31	20		7	
48	4	23	27	19		7	7 1
49	4	25	29	13		9	
50	8	36	44	25]	10	
Ward N/A	10	40	50	11	J	20	
ty Wide	555	2174	2729	1203		934	934 1476

2. Ward-by-Ward information on the number of potential safety zones (so that all Alderman can see how they look compared to the other 49 Wards)

Ward	Park Count	School Count
1	13	19
2	25	34
3	21	31
4	23	32
5	17	17
6	10	23
7	10	14
8	13	24
9	10	22
10	13	22
11	20	21
12	6	9
13	8	15
14	5	20
15	5	16
16	10	15
17	10	18
18	9	23
19	16	29
20	18	29
21	13	28
22	4	20
23	12	18
24	19	36
25	13	29

Ward	Park Count	School Count
26	7	17
27	16	33
28	17	26
29	8	21
30		
	8	13
31	5	10
32	24	20
33	10	10
34	11	22
35	7	14
36	4	11
37	6	16
38	5	25
39	15	18
40	10	19
41	17	22
42	16	5
43	9	12
44	9	8
45	13	12
46	10	14
47	12	21
48	9	9
49	24	8
50	11	25

Citywide	606	975

3. Information from the County Hospital on the number of children killed by automobiles versus the number of children killed in shootings

CDOT has contacted the Illinois Department of Public Health, Trauma Registry and awaits receipt of this data and will provide as it is available. Between 2008 and 2010 27 youth were killed in traffic accidents. By comparison 129 children under 16 were victims of homicide.

4. Ward-by-Ward information on how many of the crashes and collisions involved speeding CDOT evaluated all crash reports from 2005 to 2010 to determine the Primary and Secondary Contributing Cause. Each crash reports these causes using set of codes, such as: exceeding authorized speed limit, exceeding safe speed for conditions, failure to yield, failing to reduce speed to avoid crash, too fast for conditions, or evading police vehicle. These are a number of codes that convey information on whether a vehicle is or is very likely to have been speeding at the time of the accident. The table below shows the number of crashes with speed as a primary or secondary cause. It should also be noted that even when speed is not a cause, it has an impact on the severity of the crash. Faster moving vehicles typically result in more severe crashes.

Ward	Crash Count
1	3,964
2	8,063
3	3,245
4	2,103
5	4,294
6	5,433
7	2,621
8	4,790
9	2,408
10	2,732
11	2,968
12	3,623
13	3,068
14	3,484
15	2,592
16	2,757
17	4,099
18	2,854
19	2,940
20	3,673
21	4,539
22	2,220
23	3,559
24	4,005
25	3,588

Ward	Crash Count
26	2,260
27	5,014
28	4,541
29	2,995
30	3,129
31	3,743
32	4,891
33	2,385
34	3,000
35	3,191
36	4,391
37	3,110
38	4,283
39	2,916
40	3,067
41	4,146
42	9,058
43	3,083
44	2,695
45	4,446
46	2,007
47	3,790
48	2,236
49	1,824
50	3,270

١	Ward N/A	1,186
	Citywide	90,808

5. Ward-by-Ward information on how many pedestrian crashes involved students

Question 5. Students Injured In Traffic Crashes									
	Fatal, Serious and Non Serious Injuries to Children			Fatal, Serious and Non Serious Injuries To Children					
Ward	Under the Age	Between 5 and 17 Yrs		Between 07 AM and 04 PM*	Between 04 PM and 07 PM*	7PM to 7AM			
1	4	39		29	9	5			
2	8	65		43	18	12			
3	10	95		51	32	22			
4	3	49		31	13	8			
5	4	59		31	16	16			
6	9	117		56	44	26			
7	5	76		34	22	25			
8	5	111		48	43	25			
9	7	78 75		36	25	24			
10	10	75 46		33	35	17 9			
11 12	6 10	46 70		22	21 36	21			
13	9	54		25	25	13			
14	11	67		34	26	18			
15	18	111		47	55	27			
16	15	96		37	44	30			
17	8	166		69	51	54			
18	6	79		33	31	21			
19	2	42		20	15	9			
20	12	103		45	39	31			
21	12	110		39	50	33			
22	8	50		18	23	17			
23	2	46		11	25	12			
24	14	146		49	73	38			
25	5	55		26	24	10			
26	9	42		24	16	11			
27	6	79		38	25	22			
28	20	156		60	65	51			
29	11	67		20	34	24			
30 31	5	55 83		29 43	20 24	13 21			
32	5	20		17	5	3			
33	3	52		22	20	13			
34	9	83		38	32	22			
35	8	44		22	17	13			
36	5	38		21	12	10			
37	12	89		44	23	34			
38	3	40		18	15	10			
39	7	33		17	16	7			
40	4	31		17	12	6			
41	0	16		5	7	4			
42	4	30		13	9	12			
43	2	22		12	5	7			
44	0	6		5	1	0			
45 46	2	31		14	7 5	12			
46 47	3 2	23 33		13 22		8 4			
48	6	28		24	9 7	3			
48	3	33		15	12	9			
50	4	49		28	13	12			
Ward N/A	13	69		24	31	27			
City Wide	356	3257		1495	1237	881			

6. A Citywide breakdown on the number of children v. adult pedestrians involved in crashes

Question 6. Children Vs. Adults in Pedestrian Crashes									
		rash Data: Numbe ildren Injured In Ti Crashes		2005-2010 Crash Data Number of Adult Pedestrian Injured In Traffic Crashes					
Ward	Fatality/ Serious Injury	Non Incapacitating Injury	Total	Serious Inju Fatality		Total			
1	6	37	43	65	200	265			
2	10	63	73	133	477	610			
3	26	79	105	64	157	221			
4	11	41	52	35	87	122			
5	10	53	63	44	116	160			
6	24	102	126	74	227	301			
7	14	67	81	39	86	125			
8	24	92	116	86	149	235			
9	22	63	85	31	94	125			
10	26	59	85	28	69	97			
11	11	41	52	44	104	148			
12	20	60	80	47	114	161			
13 14	18 16	45 62	63 78	34 47	72 120	106 167			
15	20	109	129	43	124	167			
16	27	84	111	54	139	193			
17	38	136	174	62	191	253			
18	11	74	85	22	86	108			
19	7	37	44	19	44	63			
20	22	93	115	47	142	189			
21	22	100	122	55	147	202			
22	9	49	58	19	79	98			
23	11	37	48	39	95	134			
24	35	125	160	63	205	268			
25	9	51	60	49	147	196			
26	14	37	51	34	114	148			
27	17	68	85	71	195	266			
28	33	143	176	93	307	400			
29	14	64	78	28	106	134			
30	19 18	43 70	62 88	69 54	160 117	229 171			
32	5	20	25	62	139	201			
33	6	49	55	35	101	136			
34	24	68	92	32	99	131			
35	7	45	52	44	119	163			
36	14	29	43	33	89	122			
37	30	71	101	46	131	177			
38	11	32	43	48	103	151			
39	10	30	40	44	102	146			
40	6	29	35	26	105	131			
41	2	14	16	34	85	119			
42	2	32	34	230	896	1126			
43	6	18	24	58	178	236			
44	7	4	6	58	172	230			
45 46	4	26 22	33 26	23	79 98	116 121			
46	12	23	35	66	124	190			
48	6	28	34	44	120	164			
49	5	31	36	28	95	123			
50	10	43	53	37	89	126			
Ward N/A	20	62	82	73	136	209			
City Wide	753	2860	3613	2650	7530	10180			

7. A Citywide and Ward-by-Ward comparison of the amount of pedestrian incidents that take place near schools when they are open v. the amount that take place near parks when they are open 58% of pedestrian crashes involving children occurred in Safety Zones.

2005-2010 Safety Zone Child Pedestrian Injuries								
Ward	In Safety Zone	Outside Safety Zone	Total		In School Zones	In Both School and Park Zone (between 7AM and 7PM)	In Park Zone	
1	31	12	43		5	17	9	
2	52	21	73		7	34	11	
3	72	33	105		14	32	26	
4	49	3	52		9	31	9	
5	44	19	63		4	24	16	
6	59	67	126		14	27	18	
7	27	54	81		7	13	7	
8	72	44	116		22	29	21	
9	41	44	85		15	24	2	
10	64	21	85		15	26	23	
11	35	17	52		12	16	7	
12	41	39	80		13	21	7	
13	36	27	63		5	22	9	
14	47	31	78		16	30	1	
15 16	62 54	67 57	129		20 10	34 26	8 18	
17			111		27			
	98	76	174			48	23	
18	39	46	85		8	22	9	
19	23	21	44		6	12	5	
20	80	35	115		22	36	22	
21	73	49	122		23	34	16	
22	39	19	58		13	16	10	
23	20	28	48		7	8	5	
24	95	65	160		30	44	21	
25	52	8	60		16	31	5	
26	33	18	51		10	14	9	
27	66	19	85		17	33	16	
28	108	68	176		38	37	33	
29	45	33	78		15	21	9	
30	28	34	62		12	12	4	
31	43	45	88		9	18	16	
32	14	11	25		5	8	1	
33	28	27	55		5	14	9	
34	57	35	92		20	27	10	
35	27	25	52		5	16	6	
36	16	27	43		5	9	2	
37	57	44	101		21	25	11	
38	26	17	43		5	18	3	
39	23	17	40		3	12	8	
40	27	8	35		5	16	6	
41	7	9	16		2	3	2	
42	16	18	34		1	3	12	
43	19	5	24		6	6	7	
44	5	1	6		0	4	1	
45	19	14	33		8	6	5	
46	25	1	26		6	10	9	
47	27	8	35		4	19	4	
48	28	6	34		4	14	10	
49	29	7	36		9	16	4	
50	32	21	53		8	14	10	
Ward N/A	32	79	82			3		
Citywide	2,113	1,500	3,613		563	1,035	515	
Citywide	2,113	1,500	3,013		503	1,035	212	

8. Whether or not CPD only writes speeding tickets if the offender was travelling more than 10mph over the speed limit

CPD Officers can write a citation for any mph the vehicle is traveling over the speed limit.

9. The number of speeding tickets issued from 6-10mph over the speed limit

Violation	# of Tickets	% of all tickets
1-10 MPH above the posted limit	224	2%
11-14 MPH above the posted limit	691	6%
15 - 20 MPH above the posted limit	4,300	36%
21-25 MPH above the posted limit	2,836	24%
26-30 MPH above the posted limit	1,846	15%
30 MPH or more above the posted limit	1,173	10%
Fail to reduce speed	756	6%
Miscellaneous	132	1%
Total	11,958	100%

10. What the standard DPS process is for RFPs? Does this contract require City Council approval

The contract to be awarded pursuant to the RFP will not be a revenue generating contract. CDOT intends to hire a company to supply speed camera hardware and software, to install both, and to operate and maintain this equipment and software, and provide some other services, all of which will be paid for out of appropriated accounts. In other words, the City is procuring goods and services under this agreement, to be paid for from appropriated funds, which falls under the existing authority of the CPO. In this circumstance, the goods and services the City is procuring will be supporting a revenue-generating activity.

The RFP (as currently drafted) invites vendors to propose alternately structured cost proposals and proposals recommending solutions other than that specified by the City in the RFP. Consequently, it may be that a vendor proposes a certain solution that CDOT determines is best for the City, which may include terms that require Council approval. An example would be a solution that proposes a lease structure, which generally requires Council approval.

11. A more detailed explanation on the makeup and role of the advisory committee

The Advisory Committee will include 10 individuals. The Council will be represented by the Chair and Vice-Chair of the Pedestrian and Traffic Safety Committee. In addition, the committee will have members from the education and health care industry and religious and community leaders. The advisory committee will provide feedback on the criteria for establishing the 6 regions and the final boundaries of the 6 regions. The advisory committee will also review the location of cameras and help the City identify programming priorities for any positive revenue associated with this program.

12. An assurance that Traffic Committee will provide updates and information throughout the RFP process.

CDOT will ensure that it provides updates and reports to the Traffic Committee throughout this process. CDOT will provide the Traffic Committee with a copy of the RFP, notify the Traffic Committee when it initiates pilot testing, and at completion of contract negotiations.

13. The dollar amount of Revenue's contract for preparing and issuing summons.

The IBM contract amendment, with the Department of Finance, is for all Parking tickets and Administrative hearing notices. The contract amount is \$17.1 Million for April 1, 2010 to May 30, 2011.

14. Pedestrian crash data, where did crash occur, crosswalk share.

CDOT is currently researching this data and will provide it as soon as it is available.

15. What are the safeguards against video being abused against public

Currently, red-light camera video is available only in response to requests from CPD, Law Department, of FOIA. Speed camera video will follow same procedures. The City will require a code of conduct for use of data be developed as part of the automated speed enforcement contract.

16. What is the maintenance cost per month of Red light cameras

There are two contracts: the monthly maintenance cost per camera in both contracts is \$2,750. The monthly operating cost per camera varies in each contract based on when the camera was installed as either \$1,645 or \$1,150).

17. Define the six regions and the criteria for selecting them

In ensuring that automated enforcement units are equitably distributed across the city, for planning purposes the city will be divided into six areas. In establishing these areas, consideration will be given to existing boundaries such as rivers, freeways, community areas, and changes in land use. Attention will be given to population density, the number of roadway miles, crash rates, and school and park locations.

18. Can you provide details/breakdown of fatalities and injuries caused by drivers not residents of the city

27% of all crashes involved drivers from outside the City. CDOT is continuing to research the breakdown for those involved in injury crashes.

19. Red-light cameras by Ward

Ward	# of RLC Intersections	Ward	# of RLC Intersections	Ward	# of RLC Intersections	Ward	# of RLC Intersections	Ward	# of RLC Intersections
1	9	11	3	21	8	31	10	41	5
2	7	12	8	22	4	32	9	42	3
3	3	13	4	23	5	33	5	43	4
4	1	14	7	24	4	34	6	44	3
5	5	15	5	25	3	35	5	45	9
6	5	16	5	26	3	36	5	46	3
7	2	17	5	27	9	37	8	47	7
8	9	18	6	28	7	38	10	48	4
9	1	19	1	29	6	39	9	49	2
10	0	20	3	30	12	40	5	50	7

20. Add section to RFP to address safety features and additional uses of ASE data

CDOT is including the following section in the RFP. "Please identify if, how, and at what cost (If any) the ASE cameras might provide near real-time data to the City 911 Center/systems to support investigations of child abductions (amber alerts), registered sex offender, gun offenders, stolen cars, uninsured motorists" This is currently draft language. The final RFP language may differ slightly.

21. What is the projected revenue from tickets issued from speed cameras

The intent of the ordinance is to foster a safe and secure environment for our children to learn and play, revenue generation is not the focus of the program. Ideally, everyone would follow the law and posted speed limits. We do not currently have revenue projections b/c the detailed scope of the program has yet to be determined, including the number of safety zones and cameras, and the location of cameras. Depending on where cameras are placed, traffic counts will greatly vary. Further, given CDOT's timeline to issue an RFP, select a vendor, test equipment, select locations, and the fact that there will be very limited camera installation this year, as well as the 30-day noticing to residents -- there will likely not be any substantial amount of revenue generated from this program in 2012. And we do not expect to have any initial revenue estimates until much later in 2012.

22. How much will the cameras cost and what is the funding source

CDOT intends to issue an RFP and receive pricing on a variety of financial models, including the private vendor outlaying capital to establish cameras, or the city purchasing cameras. Regardless of the model that is used, it is important to point out that we expect this program to be self-sustaining in terms of cost, and we do not anticipate a large up-front cost to establish the program.

23. What are the projected administrative costs to operate cameras

The City will develop a projection for the administrative cost after it receives responses to the RFP.

24. Where, specifically, will the revenue from the speed cameras tickets go

State law establishes permissible uses for revenues to invest in children's safety, pedestrian safety and transportation improvements, including:

- 1. Initiatives to ensure safe passage around schools, provide police protection and surveillance around schools and parks
- 2. Initiatives to improve pedestrian and traffic safety
- 3. Construction and maintenance of infrastructure
- 4. After-school programs

The Mayor is committed, in particular, to ensuring children have after and out of school opportunities to keep them safe, as was evidenced recently by his investment in the \$8.5M Summer Safety Surplus into park and after school programs, as well as youth employment opportunities.

25. Regarding the Request for Proposals (RFP) - Who is going to be on the evaluation team? How will the City Council to be included in the RFP process

The RFP process will be transparent and follow Department of Procurement Services procedures. The RFP evaluation committee will include members from several City Departments including CDOT, CPD, Budget, and non-voting technical advisory member. The Council will receive the RFP when it is issued by DPS.